

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/803,405	03/17/2004	Michael Alan Hermans	14,325.2	5564	
23556 7	590 07/26/2004	EXAMINER			
KIMBERLY- 401 NORTH L	CLARK WORLDWID	FORTUNA	FORTUNA, JOSE A		
NEENAH, WI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731		
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Α	pplicant(s)				
		10/803,405	Н	HERMANS ET AL.				
Office Action Summa	ry	Examiner	A	art Unit				
		José A Fortuna	1	731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u>	<u>ne 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	_ · _ ·							
, —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 54-72 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 54-72 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to	•			_				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/28/04.		Paper I			)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/803,405 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. A substitute specification excluding the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the summary of the invention is drawn to a process of retrofitting a papermaking machine, but the claims are drawn to the device/apparatus.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c)

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 69-72 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The method of modifying a device does add any limitation to the device, since the device is limited by its structure with or without functional recitation. The steps included in the above claims do not define the structure nor add function to the parts of the device.

These claims have not been treated on the merits

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,405

Art Unit: 1731

4. Claims 54-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that claims fail(s) to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in the reply filed on March 17, 2004. In that paper, applicant has stated that invention is the decoupling of Molding and dewatering processes, by passing the web through a air press for the dewatering and then pressing it, (the web), sandwiched between two fabrics, against a drying cylinder, and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s) because, there is nothing in the claims including the pressuring of the web with a pressure roll and a drying cylinder.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 69-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - Claims 69-72 are vague, indefinite and improper, because it is unclear if the claims are drawn to an apparatus or a process. Even though the claims recite an apparatus, however only process steps are recited.
- 6. Claims 54-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: the pressure roll as indicated in the Remarks filed on March 17, 204, see above.
- 7. Claims 54-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted

Application/Control Number: 10/803,405

Art Unit: 1731

structural cooperative relationships are: the relative position of the fabrics, i.e., molding and carrier fabrics, within the apparatus. The running of the fabric(s) has not been defined.

8. Claims 54-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 54, the phrase "a molding fabric and a first fabric sandwiched together" is vague and indefinite, since it does not recite between which device(s) and/or fabrics, the molding and the first fabric are sandwiched. Should it be, the web being sandwiched between the two fabrics?

# Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 54-68 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure in the art of "Retrofitting Papermaking Machines."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José A Fortuna whose telephone number is 571-272-1188. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

José A Fortuna
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1731

**JAF**